



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/782,714 02/13/2001 Seth A. Darst IPT-011.02 2009 25181 7590 09/15/2004 **EXAMINER** FOLEY HOAG, LLP BORIN, MICHAEL L PATENT GROUP, WORLD TRADE CENTER WEST 155 SEAPORT BLVD **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER BOSTON, MA 02110 1631

DATE MAILED: 09/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/782,714	DARST ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Michael Borin	1631
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
THE REPLY FILED 30 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.		
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 30 August 2004. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);		
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or		
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		
7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.		
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		
10. Other:		
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office		Michael Borin, Ph.D. Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1631
DTD1 444 (D. 144 04)	ory Action	Part of Paper No. 20040909

Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

In regard to rejection of claims 1-3,5-8 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, Examiner maintains that the only crystal demonstrated to enable the required X-ray diffraction is the crystal of Thermus aquaticus bacterial core RNA polymerase. Contrary to applicant's unsubstantiated assertions that obtaining a crystal is a routine, the prior art cited in the rejection teaches that the homologous proteins from different sources cannot be easily crystallized using the same techniques and/or conditions and may result in different crystal forms.

In regard to rejection of claims 1-8 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph (paragraph #3 of final Office action) even though applicant removed teerm "eukaryote" from claim 1, the specification does not teach posession of subgenus of any prokaryote RNAP. The single example of Thermus aquaticus RNAP is not sufficient to demonstrate posession of crystals of RNAPs derived from other prokaryotes.i

In regard to claim 6, rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph (written description), the rejection is maintained because specification, at pages 54,58 cited by applicant, does not describe an omega chain of a prokaryote RNAP; rather, it describes a model ω subunit, recreated from poly-alanine chain to complete the model of the crystal. The specification does not demonstrate crystal of a core RNA polymerase having ω subunit derived from RNAP.